

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,139	10/14/2004	Jun Fujita	121510	1973	
7590 09/28/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Oliff & Berridge			MAYES, MELVIN C		
PO Box 19928 ·					
Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734	1734	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/511,139	FUJITA, JUN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•		1734
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 8-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 8-17 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/04, 12/6/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Ke

Art Unit: 1734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

**(1)** 

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/511,139

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(2)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1734

(3)

Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bonzo 4,557,773.

Bonzo discloses a method of fabricating a honeycomb structure comprising: providing an extruded ceramic honeycomb structure; securing a solid covering film to the end face of the honeycomb; forming openings in the film by sources such as laser or intense light; plugging cells in the honeycomb with ceramic cement; and firing the structure and cement. The solid covering film can be masking tape such as one mil thick (0.025 mm) polyester film such as Scotch Brand Magic Transparent Tape or Tuck Industries, Inc Tape style No. 64 or Formal Adhesive Products, Inc. Style No. 054 polyester tapes (col. 7, line 3 – col. 11, line 22).

Further, by securing a one mil thick (25  $\mu$ m) polyester film such as Scotch Brand Magic Transparent Tape or Tuck Industries, Inc Tape style No. 64 or Formal Adhesive Products, Inc. Style No. 054 polyester tape to the honeycomb, a film of thickness in the range of 10-70  $\mu$ m comprising a polyester substrate layer of thickness in the range of 5-40  $\mu$ m and acrylic adhesive layer of thickness in the range of 5-40  $\mu$ m and having an adhesive force in the range of 3-15 N/25 mm, as claimed, is obviously adhered to the honeycomb.

## Conclusion

(4)

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gipson teaches that Scotch Brand tape has acrylic adhesive (col. 2, lines 51-53).

Art Unit: 1734

Yamaguchi et al. disclose using an adhesive coated PET, PP or polyester film and laser.

Allen et al. disclose using an adhesive-backed polyester film and laser.

(5)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 571-272-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Curis Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

MCM September